

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

DATE MAILED: 06/11/2003

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/755,383 | 01/05/2001 | Bruce M. Schena | IMM029B | 6408 |
| 75 | 590 06/11/2003 | | | |
| Phil Albert Esq Townsend and Townsend Two Embarcadero Center 8th Floor | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | LEWIS, DAVID LEE | |
| San Francisco, | CA 94111 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2673 | 1 . |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

7

Interview Summary

Application No. 09/755,383 Applicant(s)

Examiner

Art Unit David L. Lewis

2673

Schena et al.

| ll |
|----|
| П |
| 11 |
| I |
| Ш |
| Ш |
| П |
| П |
| Ш |
| Ш |
| П |
| |

| | Life in the control of the control o |
|--|--|
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO person | onnel): |
| (1) David L. Lewis | (3) Andrew Summer |
| (2) Erik Milch (42887) | (4) |
| Date of Interview Jun 10, 2003 | |
| Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ | applicant's representative] |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ | No. If yes, brief description: |
| | |
| Claim(s) discussed: 47-76 | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: Hannaford et al. (5642469) | |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) | X was not reached. h)□ N/A. |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general natu any other comments: | re of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or |
| Applicant discussed the claims language concerning independan | et claims 47, 60, and 71. Applicant repeats arguements in |
| response to the rejection in their amendment filed on 11/22/200 | |
| whereby the feedback on the detected degree of force is not sh | own, further point 12 is not a sensor but a control point, |
| further the acutator of Hannaford is connected to the sensor, but | ut Applicants sensor is seperate from but coupled to the |
| actuators The Examiner notes, column 8 lines 59-61, for forces | |
| respond to allow common movement of the end effector 14 and | |
| notes, by touching control point 12 with a finger or pen like tool | |
| rotational actuation, in three degrees of freedom. The Examiner and the second redundant actuator having no encoder for sensing | |
| (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendment allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy available, a summary thereof must be attached.) | ts which the examiner agreed would render the claims of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is |
| i) 🗵 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate re | ecord of the substance of the interview (if box is checked). |
| Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WINCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP se already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of I | ection 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has HIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE |
| | |
| Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action. | Examiner's signature, if required |